

<b>Family Name</b>	Sartini
<b>Given Name</b>	Katrina
<b>Person ID</b>	1286391
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Sartini
<b>Given Name</b>	Katrina
<b>Person ID</b>	1286391
<b>Title</b>	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	This area is designated greenbelt land that is very important to the residents and wildlife alike. Government policy is not to build on these sites when brownfield sites are available. The housing proposed is absolutely non essential to the housing needs in Rochdale and will significantly add to traffic fumes, Co2 emissions and congestion in the area. Schools in the area will not be equipped to deal with any influx of extra pupils. I understand that the government has plans to increase hedgerows, trees and biodiversity across the country to meet it's own targets, how will removing these very things help in any way. People use this area for walking and exercise both very important factors in maintaining good mental health and fitness. These proposals would undoubtedly be very detrimental to this important area and I believe these proposals should be removed from Pfe as this is publicly accessible land protected by national policy.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	JPA 19 Bamford/ Norden be removed from the Pfe.